IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.H.S. ANSARI

WRIT PETITION NO : 17792 of 1994

Between:

1. Florence Nightingale Educational Society rep. by President Sri R. Rajeswara Reddy, R/o Hyderabad Off: 16-9-761,Old Malakpet, Hyderabad-36

2. Noble Educational Society rep. by President Sri R.

Rajeswara Reddy, R/o Hyderabad Off: 16-9-761, Old

Malakpet, Hyderabad-36

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Govt. of A.P. rep. by Secretary
Education Dept., Secretariat,
Hyderabad
2 The Osmania University rep. by Registrar
Osmania University, Hyderabad
3 The Commissioner of Higher Education (Collegiate Education),

A.P., Hyderabad
4 The Spurthy Educational Society Green Hills, H.No.11-13-196/4
1st Floor, Satyam Sivam Complex, Saroor Nagar, Hyderabad.
5 The Sneha Eduacational Society, Dilsukhnagar H.No.16-11-

515/9/1A, Gaddiannaram, Dilsukhnagar, Hyderabad
6 The Gurram Pragathi Educational Society H.No.16-11-

477/6/1/A/1, Dilsukhnagar, Hyd. C/o Secretary, G.Nagaiah

H.No.MIG, B.238,Vanasthalipuram, R.R.Dist**.**

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue order, writ or direction especially one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring that the G.O.Rt.No.1623, dt: 12-10-90 is illegal and it is run contrary to the statutory rules issued in G.O.Ms.No.29, dt: 15-2-87 and as it runs contrary to the A.P.Education Act, 1982 and further declare that the University cannot grant permission for opening of the new colleges seeking to exercise power under G.O.Rt.No.1623, dt: 12-10-90 either in favour of respondent No.4 to 6 or any one.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.M.SURENDER RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 & 3: GP FOR EDUCATION.

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR.K.RAMAKANTH REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 to 6: None appeared.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is of the view that the matter is rendered infructuous by efflux of time. Petitioner had questioned the grant of permission for opening of new college. The said college had since

been established. In the circumstances, no orders are necessary in this writ petition and it is directed to be closed. No order as to costs.

_____

10-03-2005

To

1 The Secretary, Govt. of A.P., Education Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 The Registrar, Osmania University, Hyderabad
3 The Commissioner of Higher Education (Collegiate Education), A.P., Hyderabad
4 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Education, High Court Building, Hyderabad. (OUT).

5 Two C.D. copies.

sj/ajr